

K. DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M.
EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS,
FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T.
MAXWELL, MERRILL A. MILLER, JR.,
DON L. NICKLES, and LOU SIMPSON,

Defendants,

and

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,
an Oklahoma Corporation,

Nominal Defendant.

DOLEZAL FAMILY LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP, Derivatively on Behalf of
Nominal Defendant CHESAPEAKE ENERGY
CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD K.
DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M.
EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS,
FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T.
MAXWELL, MERRILL A. MILLER, JR.,
DON L. NICKLES, and LOUIS SIMPSON,

Defendants,

and

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORP.,

Nominal Defendant.

BRIAN F. LEONARD, Individually and
derivatively on behalf of CHESAPEAKE
ENERGY CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

[Caption continues on next page]

Case No. 5:12-cv-477-M

Case No. 5:12-cv-479-M

AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD K.
DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M.
EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS,
FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T.
MAXWELL, MERRILL A. MILLER, JR.,
DON L. NICKLES, and LOUIS SIMPSON,

Defendants,

and

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,
an Oklahoma Corporation,

Nominal Defendant.

JACOB SHOCHAT, Derivatively and on
Behalf of CHESAPEAKE ENERGY
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD K.
DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M.
EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS,
FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T.
MAXWELL, MERRILL A. MILLER, JR.,
DON L. NICKLES, and LOUIS A. SIMPSON,

Defendants,

and

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No. 5:12-cv-488-M

[Caption continues on next page]

THE DAVID A. KROLL, INC. EMPLOYEES')	
PROFIT-SHARING PLAN AND TRUST,)	Case No. 5:12-cv-493-M
derivatively on behalf of CHESAPEAKE)	
ENERGY CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD)	
K. DAVIDSON, V. BURNS HARGIS,)	
FRANK A. KEATING, BREENE M. KERR,)	
CHARLES T. MAXWELL, DON L.)	
NICKLES, FREDERICK B. WHITTEMORE,)	
MARCUS C. ROWLAND, MICHAEL A.)	
JOHNSON, LOUIS A. SIMPSON,)	
KATHLEEN M. EISBRENNER, and)	
MERRILL A. MILLER, JR.,)	
)	
Defendants,)	
)	
and)	
)	
CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,)	
)	
Nominal Defendant.)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

STEPHEN ROBACZYNSKI, Derivatively on)	
Behalf of Nominal Defendant CHESAPEAKE)	Case No. 5:12-cv-501-M
ENERGY CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, MERRILL A.)	
MILLER, JR., DON NICKLES, CHARLES T.)	
MAXWELL, FRANK KEATING, RICHARD)	
K. DAVIDSON,)	
)	

[Caption continues on next page]

V. BURNS HARGIS, KATHLEEN M.
EISBRENNER, LOUIS A. SIMPSON,
BREENE M. KERR, and FREDERICK B.
WHITTEMORE,

Defendants,

and

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,
an Oklahoma Corporation,

Nominal Defendant.

NORMAN SPIEGEL, Derivatively on Behalf
of Nominal Defendant CHESAPEAKE
ENERGY CORPORTION,

Plaintiff,

v.

AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD K.
DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M.
EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS,
FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T.
MAXWELL, MERRILL A. MILLER, JR.,
DON NICKLES, and LOU SIMPSON,

Defendants,

and

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,

Nominal Defendant.

HOWARD ROSENGARTEN, Derivatively on
Behalf of CHESAPEAKE ENERGY
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 5:12-cv-502-M

Case No. 5:12-cv-505-M

[Caption continues on next page]

AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD K.)
DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M.)
EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS,)
FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T.)
MAXWELL, MERRILL A. MILLER, JR.,)
DON NICKLES, and LOU SIMPSON,)

Defendants,)

and)

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,)

Nominal Defendant.)

ARTHUR ALBERTS, Individually and)
derivatively on Behalf of CHESAPEAKE)
ENERGY CORPORATION,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD K.)
DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M.)
EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS,)
FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T.)
MAXWELL, MERRILL A. MILLER, JR.,)
DON L. NICKLES, and LOU SIMPSON,)

Defendants,)

and)

CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,)
an Oklahoma Corporation,)

Nominal Defendant.)

Case No. 5:12-cv-545-M

[Caption continues on next page]

JOEL GERBER, Derivatively on Behalf of)	
CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,)	Case No. 5:12-cv-584-D
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
AUBREY K. MCCLENDON, RICHARD K.)	
DAVIDSON, KATHLEEN M.)	
EISBRENNER, V. BURNS HARGIS,)	
FRANK KEATING, CHARLES T.)	
MAXWELL, MERRILL A. "PETE" MILLER,)	
JR., DON L. NICKLES, LOU SIMPSON,)	
BREENE M. KERR and FREDERICK B.)	
WHITTEMORE,)	
)	
Defendants,)	
)	
and)	
)	
CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORPORATION,)	
an Oklahoma Corporation,)	
)	
Nominal Defendant.)	
)	
)	

**PLAINTIFF STEPHEN ROBACYZNSKI'S JOINDER
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS ARNOLD AND CLEM'S
CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTIONS AND
CROSS-MOTIONS TO CONSOLIDATE AND APPOINT LEAD COUNSEL**

Plaintiff Stephen Robacyznski submits this Joinder in Support of Plaintiffs Arnold and Clem's Consolidated Response in Opposition to Motions and Cross-Motions to Consolidate and Appoint Lead Counsel.

On May 3, 2012, plaintiffs M. Lee Arnold and James Clem filed a Motion to Lift Stay and requested leave to file a Consolidated Amended Complaint. On May 22, 2012, plaintiffs Arnold and Clem filed a consolidated response in opposition to the various motions and cross-motions for consolidation and appointment of lead counsel filed in the above-captioned actions

(the "Response").¹ In their Response, plaintiffs Arnold and Clem requested that this Court deny the pending motions for consolidation and appointment of lead counsel and instead consolidate all of the above-captioned actions pursuant to this Court's previous order issued on December 23, 2011 [Case No. 5:11-cv-985-M, Dkt. No. 39] ("Consolidation Order").

Plaintiff Robaczynski hereby joins in and fully supports the relief sought in plaintiffs Arnold's and Clem's Response. Plaintiff Robaczynski agrees with that consolidation of the recently filed shareholder derivative actions on behalf of Chesapeake Energy Corporation ("Chesapeake" or the "Company") with plaintiffs Arnold's and Clem's consolidated action pursuant to the Consolidation Order, including the reaffirmation of this Court's previous appointment of Robbins Umeda LLP and Holzer, Holzer & Fistel LLP (collectively, "Co-Lead Counsel") as co-lead counsel for the consolidated action, is appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

DATED: June 29, 2012

By: s/William McMahon
WILLIAM McMAHON
1720 E 14 Pl.
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104
Phone: (918) 742-3600

BRIAN MURRAY

¹ On May 1, 2012, plaintiff Dolezal Family Limited Trust Partnership ("Dolezal") filed a Motion to Consolidate Cases and to Appoint Lead Counsel Structure [Case No. 5:12-cv-447-M, Dkt. No. 7]. On May 3, 2012, plaintiff Deborah G. Mallow IRA SEP Investment Plan ("Mallow"), filed a Cross-Motion to Consolidate All Related Cases and to Appoint Lead Counsel and In Opposition to Competing Motion to Appoint Lead Counsel [Case No. 5:12-cv-436-M, Dkt. No. 15]. On May 9, 2012, plaintiff Jacob Shochat ("Shochat") filed a Cross-Motion for Consolidation of Related Cases and Appointment of Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel [Case No. 5:12-cv-488-M, Dkt. No. 7], which also opposed the Dolezal and Mallow motions. On May 16, 2012, plaintiff Mallow, on behalf of itself and plaintiffs Snyder, Dolezal, Leonard, David A. Kroll, Inc. Employees' Profit-Sharing Plan and Trust, and Spiegel, filed a response in support of its initial cross-motion and in opposition to plaintiff Shochat's cross-motion.

MURRAY FRANK LLP
275 Madison Avenue
Suite 801
New York, New York 10016
Tel: (212) 682-1818
Fax: (212) 682-1892

Counsel for Plaintiff
Stephen Robaczynski